

NOTE

An Upper Bound for $B_2[2]$ Sequences

Javier Cilleruelo

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain
 E-mail: franciscojavier.cilleruelo@uam.es

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received March 10, 1999; accepted May 4, 1999

View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

For a sequence of positive integers A , the functions $r(n)$, $r'(n)$, $d(n)$ denote the number of solutions of

$$n = b + a, \quad a \leq b, \quad a, b \in A.$$

$$n = b + a, \quad a < b, \quad a, b \in A.$$

$$n = b - a, \quad a < b, \quad a, b \in A.$$

We say that a sequence of integers A belongs to the class of $B_2[g]$ sequences if $r(n) \leq g$ for any integer n .

To find $B_2[g]$ sequences as dense as possible is a standard problem in additive number theory ([2, 5, 6]). We define $F(N, g) = \max\{|A|, A \subset [1, N], A \in B_2[g]\}$. One is interested in finding precise bounds of $F(N, g)$.

For finite $B_2[g]$ sequences we have the following simple argument. Let $A \subset [1, N]$, be A a $B_2[g]$ sequence. Then

$$\binom{|A| + 1}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{2N} r(n) \leq 2Ng,$$

which yields $F(N, g) \leq 2\sqrt{gN}$.

$B_2[1]$ sequences are usually called Sidon sequences. It is easy to see that these sequences also satisfy that $d(n) \leq 1$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. This special

property of the differences makes it easier to get a better upper bound for the size of finite Sidon sequences. Erdős [3] used this fact to prove that

$$F(N, 1) = F(N) = \sqrt{N}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Unfortunately, the function $d(n)$ is not bounded for $B_2[g]$ sequences if $g > 1$ and Erdős argument does not apply. Recently, I. Ruzsa, C. Trujillo and the author [1], using a density argument, have proved that $F(N, g) \leq 1.864 \sqrt{gN} + o(\sqrt{N})$.

In this paper we use a new combinatorial argument which allows us to take advantage of average behaviour of the differences for $B_2[2]$ sequences.

THEOREM 1. $F(N, 2) \leq \sqrt{6N} + 1$.

On the other hand, it is known [1] that $F(N, 2) \geq (3/2 + o(1))\sqrt{N}$.

Theorem 1 improves the above result for $g=2$ and the proof is completely different and easier.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

LEMMA 1. *For any finite sequence A of positive integers we have*

$$(i) \quad \binom{|A|}{2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} r'(n)$$

$$(ii) \quad \binom{|A|}{2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} d(n)$$

Proof. Obvious.

Let A a $B_2[2]$ sequence, $A \subset [1, N]$ and we define $R_j = \{n \notin 2 \times A; r(n) = j\}$ and $R'_j = \{n \in 2 \times A; r(n) = j\}$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$. where $2 \times A$ denotes the set $\{2a; a \in A\}$.

LEMMA 2. *If A is a $B_2[2]$ sequence then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2} = 2 |R_2| + |R'_2|$$

Proof. We associate, for each $m \in R_2$, the unique 4-tupla (a, b, c, d) such that

$$a < b < c < d \quad \text{and} \quad a + d = b + c = m.$$

Conversely, each 4-tupla (a, b, c, d) , $a < b < c < d$, $a + d = b + c$ corresponds to a unique integer $m \in R_2$.

Similary, for each $m \in R'_2$, we associate the 4-tupla (a, b, b, d) , $a < b < d$ such that $a + d = b + b$. Again, each 4-tupla (a, b, b, d) , $a < b < d$, $a + d = b + b$ corresponds to a unique integer $m \in R'_2$.

Now, for each positive integer n and for each pair $(b, a), (d, c)$, $a < c$ of different solutions of $x - y = n$, we consider the 4-tupla (a, b, c, d) if $b < c$, the 4-tupla (a, c, b, d) if $c < b$ and the 4-tupla (a, b, b, d) if $b = c$.

Then, each 4-tupla (a, b, c, d) , $a < b < c < d$, $a + d = b + c$ comes from the pair of solutions $(b, a), (d, c)$ of $x - y = b - a$ and from the pair of solutions $(c, a), (d, b)$ of $x - y = c - a$.

Then, each $m \in R_2$ is counted exactly twice in the sum $\sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2}$.

Similary, each 4-tupla (a, b, b, d) , $a < b < d$, $a + d = b + b$ comes only from the unique pair of solutions $(b, a), (d, b)$ of $x - y = b - a$.

Then, each $m \in R'_2$ is counted once in the sum $\sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2}$.

LEMMA 3. $\sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2} \leq \binom{|A|}{2}$

Proof. Observe that Lemma 1, (i) can be written as $\binom{|A|}{2} = 2|R_2| + |R'_2| + |R_1|$

Proof of Theorem 1. From Lemma 3 and Lemma 1, (ii) we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} d^2(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1} d(n) + 2 \binom{|A|}{2} - 2|R_1| \leq 3 \binom{|A|}{2}$$

On the other hand

$$\binom{|A|}{2}^2 = \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} d(n) \right)^2 \leq N \sum_{n \geq 1} d^2(n).$$

Then

$$\binom{|A|}{2} \leq 3N$$

and $|A| \leq \sqrt{6N} + 1$.

M. Helm has proved independently and in a different way the bound $F(N, 2) \leq \sqrt{(6N)} + O(1)$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Cilleruelo, I. Ruzsa, C. Trujillo, Upper and lower bounds for finite $B_h[g]$ sequences, $g > 1$, preprint.
2. P. Erdős and R. Freud, On Sidon-sequences and related problems, *Mat. Lapok* **1** (1994).

3. P. Erdős and P. Turan, On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory and some related problems, *J. London. Math. Soc.* **16** (1941), 212–215.
4. M. N. Kolountzakis, The density of $B_h[g]$ sequences and the minimum of dense cosine sums, *J. Number Theory* **56** (1996), 4–11.
5. M. N. Kolountzakis, “Some Applications of Probability to Additive Number Theory and Harmonic Analysis,” Number Theory, New York Seminar 1991–1995, Springer.
6. A. Sarközy and V. T. Sos, “On Additive Representation Functions,” The Mathematics of Paul Erdős I, Algorithms and Combinatorics, Vol. 13, 1996, Springer.